IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

WRIT PETITION NO.6307 OF 2007

Mr.P.M.Sabnis. ...Petitioner

vs.

1.Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. And another. ...Respondents.

Mr.Vijay P.Vaidya, for Petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Gehani, for Respondent no.1.

Mr.N.D.Sharma, for Respondent no.2.

AND

WRIT PETITION NO.6308 OF 2007

Mr.W.D.Joshi. ...Petitioner

vs.

1.Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. And another. ...Respondents.

Mr.Vijay P.Vaidya, for Petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Gehani, for Respondent no.1.

Mr.N.D.Sharma, for Respondent no.2.

WRIT PETITION NO.6320 OF 2007

Mr.B.J.Mehta ...Petitioner

vs.

1.Hindustan Organic Chemicals Ltd. And another. ...Respondents.

Mr.Vijay P.Vaidya, for Petitioner.

Mr.Rajesh Gehani, for Respondent no.1.

Mr.N.D.Sharma, for Respondent no.2.

CORAM: D.K.DESHMUKH &

V.M.KANADE, JJ.

DATED: 20th December,2007.

P.C.:-

1. The learned Counsel appearing for respondent no.1 states that the wage revision has been made applicable by the respondent no.1 from the year 2001, and the petitioner would be entitled to differential amount as per the notice dated 4.10.1999 only in case the respondent no.1 makes the wage revision applicable from 1.1.1997,

and therefore, as and when either because of the Court's order or otherwise the respondent no.1 decides to make the wage revision applicable from 1.1.1997 as per the notice dated 4.10.1999, the petitioner would also be paid differential amount. The statement is accepted. In view of this statement, on a motion made by the learned Counsel appearing for petitioner, the petition is allowed to be withdrawn.

(D.K.DESHMUKH, J.)

(V.M.KANADE,J.)